Welcome!

Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Regulatory Science Consortium (D-RSC)

June 23, 2016



D-RSC Team



- Jane Larkindale, Executive Director
- Pat Furlong, PPMD Co-Director
- Charles Lynn, Sr. Project Manager
- Klaus Romero, Director, Clinical Pharmacology
- Enrique Avilés, Chief Technology Officer
- Ann Robbins, Regulatory Consultant
- Ted Abresch, Duchenne Clinical Consultant

Plus scientific experts from consortium members



D-RSC

"Why the need for the D-RSC?"



- Development of drugs for the disease is moving forward rapidly, with 23 interventional clinical trials currently ongoing (clinicaltrials.gov).
- The regulatory authorities (FDA and EMA) are open to considering additional endpoints, biomarkers or other ways to more rapidly test therapeutics for this deadly disease.

D-RSC was formed to develop tools to accelerate therapy development for this urgent unmet medical need, aiming to accelerate and improve trial protocol development and reduce the numbers of patients needed to demonstrate the effect of new therapies.



D-RSC: a new consortium to support collaborative research and regulatory qualification of new drug development tools (DDTs) for Duchenne muscular dystrophy to enable the earliest possible patient access to new treatments.



1. Development of a data sharing platform for Duchenne clinical data D-RSC will work with consortium members and other organizations to obtain contributions of clinical data. These data will be converted to a common data structure through the use of Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC) clinical data standards, and then combined to build a pooled database of de-identified patient data that can include current clinical measures, patient-reported outcomes, pathology, imaging, and other biomarkers that describe the disease progression of Duchenne. D-RSC members will have access to this database per the terms and conditions established for each contributed dataset. The integrated database will be an invaluable research tool for current and future D-RSC projects.



2. Development and publication of a CDISC therapeutic area standard for Duchenne muscular dystrophy

During the conversion of data to CDISC standards format to enable pooling of data from multiple sources, C-Path staff will document any gaps in the CDISC clinical data standard as they apply to Duchenne. C-Path will prepare draft supplemental CDISC standards documents and then work with CDISC to publish a new CDISC SDTM therapeutic area standard for Duchenne.

These standards are going to be required for all FDA submissions by 2017

D-RSC Initial Objective 3



Develop a disease progression model for Duchenne muscular dystrophy via application of the consortium shared data
 This model is envisioned to quantitatively describe disease progression and capture all relevant sources of variability, with three main purposes:
 1) serve as the backbone for the future development of a drug-disease-trial model, which can then be turned into a clinical trial simulation platform; 2) serve as a quantitative clinical trial enrichment platform; 3) inform further biomarker efforts.

This will be developed with FDA/EMA input using combined datasets so that the final tool is in a form that can be endorsed by the regulatory authorities. Development of the tool will occur with input from the consortium members

Possible Additional Objectives



- 3. Qualify skeletal muscle MRI imaging (fat fraction) as a prognostic or phamacodynamic biomarker for Duchenne. D-RSC may partner with the NIH-funded Imaging-DMD consortium to qualify MRI measurements as a biomarker with both FDA and EMA. Discussions are ongoing with Imaging-DMD and the data will let us determine the exact context of use for qualification.
- 4. Development of additional drug development tools.

The consortium has yet to vote on moving forwards with these additional objectives.



Additional Projects:

- 1. Consortium members will be able to use the part of the database that is shared with consortium members for their own analyses
- 2. Parts of the database may be shared with all researchers, depending on the requests of the data owner.
- 3. Consortium members may choose to embark on the development of additional drug development tools, which will require additional funding

D-RSC Workflow





Data Sharing – Key Success Factors





CDISC clinical data standards provide this capability

Value of Data Sharing, Standards, and Pooling

Start Point

- Nine member companies agreed to share data from 24 Alzheimer's disease (AD) trials
- The data were not in a common format
- All data were remapped to the CDISC AD standard and pooled

Result

- A new clinical trial simulation tool was created and has been the first model endorsed by the FDA and EMA
- Researchers utilizing database to advance research



Disparate Legacy Data



CDISC Data Standards



Integrated Data



- Integrated database
- 24 studies, > 6500 patients
- Database accessed by > 200 qualified researchers in 35 countries

Clinical Trial Simulator for AD



What the tool is:

• A clinical trial simulation tool to help optimize clinical trial design for mild and moderate AD, using ADAS-cog as the primary cognitive endpoint

What it is based on:

• A drug-disease-trial model that describes disease progression, drug effects, dropout rates, placebo effect, and relevant sources of variability

What it is NOT intended for:

• Approve medical products without the actual execution of well conducted trials in real patients

Regulatory conclusions



This model adequately captures relevant information regarding disease progression, drug effects and clinical trial aspects (placebo effect and dropouts)

Clinical Trial Simulations based on this tool allows the objective, prospective and realistic evaluation of the operating characteristics of different trial designs.

> FDA fit-for-purpose decision on CAMD CTS tool. 2013 EMA qualification opinion on CAMD CTS tool. 2013

What Will a Disease Progression Tool do?

| DISEASE PROGRESSION MODEL | Understand Natural History: Understand / identify subgroups Enrich clinical trials Identify modifiers of progression Identify responders to treatment |
|---------------------------------|--|
| DISEASE / BIOMARKER MODEL | Disease/Biomarker Model: Shows how the biomarker changes with disease progression Frequently required for qualification of imaging biomarkers |
| PLACEBO EFFECT MODEL | Reduce Size of Placebo Arm of Trials: Understand disease progression Accepted historical controls Model replaces some placebos in trial, increases power of trial |
| DRUG –DISEASE - TRIAL MODEL | Drug-Disease Model as a Clinical Trial Simulation Tool: Simulate trial to optimize protocol Quantitative trial enrichment Reduces trial failure rate Informs biomarker discovery |

Developing a Comprehensive Database (endpoints, covariate data) is a Critical Step for Success



Duchenne Disease Progression Model – current view



- Non-linear mixed effects model of relevant continuous measure through disease: Fat fraction (by MRI) in a specific muscle or group of muscles over time; or strength in specific muscle groups; NSAA; FVC
- Develop time to event analysis to predict loss of major clinical milestones.





D-RSC Progress

Timeline



- 3/16/2015: Research Agreement signed C-Path and PPMD
- 5/22/2015: First Member Signed (Santhera)
- 8/3/2015: Official Launch (3 members signed on)
- 8/21/2015: First Consortium Meeting
- 10/26/2015: Fourth Consortium Member Joined
- 11/1/2015: First Data Contribution
- 12/1/2015: CDISC Standards Proposal Submitted
- 2/1/2016: 4 companies, 4 academics, 2 NIH advisors, FDA liaison involved
- 4/13/2016: First annual meeting,3 datasets in house, two additional data sharing agreements2 new academic members
- 5/1/2016: Launch of CDISC and Modeling Workgroups
- 6/15/2016: Launch of database



- Development of a data sharing platform for Duchenne clinical data Three datasets received, two more data agreements signed, additional in the pipeline. Mapping work initiated, Database developed.
- Development and publication of a CDISC therapeutic area standard for Duchenne muscular dystrophy
 FDA priority area for 2016 for CDISC standards, Proposal accepted, standards

development initiated, project schedule development and approval is next formal CDISC milestone

• Develop a disease progression model for Duchenne muscular dystrophy via application of the consortium shared data

Early meeting with modeling and clinical experts, Nov. 2015, Meeting in April 2016 to launch the project with the wider community



Data Sharing

D-RSC Data Capability & Safeguards



Establish a pooled, standardized, secure database of Duchenne clinical trial control arm data to support D-RSC objectives

- Data access limited to D-RSC members and/or C-Path staff as authorized by D-RSC Leadership Team, and the owner of the data
- Data owners determine the level of sharing for their data contributions
- Full data anonymization that exceeds HIPAA "Safe Harbor" specification
- C-Path Online Data Repository (CODR) platform
 - > Extensive security measures for online data access & database management
 - Proven open source database technology
- Leverages existing data sharing and data standards partnerships
 - > C-Path consortia expertise
 - > CFAST data standards partnership with CDISC and TransCelerate BioPharma Inc.



Consortium Membership

D-RSC Governance and Funding Model



CRITICAL PATH

INSTITUTE



C-Path

C-Path: A Public Private Partnership



- A trusted, non-profit, neutral third party
- Convenes scientific consortia of industry, academia, and government for sharing of data/expertise
 - ✓ The best science
 - The broadest experience
 - Active consensus building
 - ✓ Shared risk and costs



- Enables iterative FDA/EMA/PMDA participation in developing new methods to assess the safety and efficacy of medical products
- To achieve official regulatory endorsement of novel methodologies and drug development tools



| U.S. Department of Health and Human Services |
|--|
| Food and Drug Administration |
| Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) |

January 2014 Procedural

| | 30 June 2008 | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Final Agreed by | СНМР | 22 January 2009 | |
| | | i | |
| Keywords | EMA. CHMP. Novel methodology. Qua | Qualification. Scientific Advice. Biomarker. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Main changes are | in the presubmission phase | | |
| ¹ Main changes are Based on experience but also from a scie the Qualification tea | in the presubmission phase. a, the presubmission phase is important not only from t http://www.Therefore it has been extended to 6 m one month before the start of the procedure compar- | he procedural help to the applicant point of view 0 days with appointment of the Coordinator and do to the appointment at start of procedure | |
| ¹ Main changes are Based on experience but also from a scie the Qualification tea previously. Also the timing of th (previously 5-15 dar usefulness of this ti | In the presubmission phase, by the presubmission phase is important not only from this first point of view. Therefore it has been extended to 6 on ennorth <u>lefore</u> the start of the procedure compare the preparatory meeting with the applicant has been more ya after start) into the presubmission phase, i.e. approximing observed in the procedures to far. | he procedural help to the applicant point of view 0 days with appointment of the Coordinator and ed to the appointment <u>at</u> start of procedure def from the beginning of the procedure imately 15 days before the start based on the | |
| ¹ Main changes are i Based on experienco but also from a scie the Qualification tea previously. Also the timing of th (previously 5-15 da usefulness of this tii ² Main changes are i methodologies. | In the presubmission phase, by the presubmission phase is important not only from the full point of view. Therefore it has been extended to 6 more most highlights the start of the procedure compar- be preparatory meeting with the applicant has been more suffer start) has the presubmission phase, i.e. approx- ming observed in the procedures to far. the inclusion of the dates and deadlines for submission | he procedural help to the applicant point of view 0 days with appointment of the Coordinator and de to the appointment at start of procedure wed from the beginning of the procedure imitately 15 days before the start based on the of letters of intent for qualification of novel | |
| ¹ Main changes are Based on experienco but also form a scie the Qualification tea previously. Also the timing of the (previously 5-15 da usefulness of this tin ² Main changes are methodologies. ³ Main change is the | In the presubmission phase, is important not only from the presubmission phase is important not only from the presubmission phase is important not only from the norm on the phase. The start of the precedure compare are preparatory meeting with the applicant has been more systems that the presubmission phase, i.e. approximing observed in the precedures to far, the inclusion of the dates and deadlines for submission inclusion of the letter of support, as an option followin | he procedural help to the applicant point of view 0 days with appointment of the Coordinator and ed to the appointment at start of procedure well from the beginning of the procedure imately 15 days before the start based on the of letters of intent for qualification of novel g a qualification advice procedure. | |
| ¹ Main changes are Based on experience but also from a scie the Qualification tea previously. Also the timing of th (previously 5-15 da usefulness of this til ² Main changes are methodologies. ³ Main change is the data the science of the science of the science of the data the science of the science of the science of the data the science of the science of the science of the data the science of the science of the science of the data the science of the science of the science of the science of the data the science of the science of the science of the science of the data the science of the scien | In the presubmission phase. It, the presubmission phase is important not only from this point of view. Therefore it has been extended to 6 im one month before the start of the procedure compare or space the presubmission phase, i.e. approximate of the procedure of the presubmission phase phase the presubmission phase, i.e. approximate of the presubmission phase, i.e. approximate of the presedures to for . Inclusion of the dates and deadlines for submission inclusion of the latter of support, as an option following anary Wharf • London E14 SEU + United Kingdom | he procedural help to the applicant point of view 0 days with appointment of the Coordinator and ed to the appointment at start 0 procedure imately 15 days before the start based on the of letters of intent for qualification of novel g a qualification advice procedure. | |
| ¹ Main changes are Based on experience but also from a scie previously. Also the timing of the (previously 5-15 de usefulness of this tri methodologies. ³ Main changes are Main changes are also churchill Place • C Churchill Place • C | In the presubmission phase, is moving the presubmission phase is moving the presubmission phase is moving the presubmission phase is moving the procedure compares of the procedure compares of the presubmission phase, i.e. approximing observed in the procedures to far, the inclusion of the dates and deadlines for submission i inclusion of the latter of support, as an option following anary Wharf + London E14 SEU - United Kingdom 0.566 0005 | he procedural help to the applicant point of view 0 days with appointment of the Coordinator and die to the appointment at start to procedure winately 15 days before the start based on the of letters of intent for qualification of novel g a qualification advice procedure. | |
| ¹ Main changes are Based on experience but also from a scie previously. Also the timing of th (previously 5-15 da Usefulness of this ti ² Main changes are methodologies. ³ Main change is the "30 Churchill Place • C Telephone +44 (0)2). | In the presubmission phase. It, the presubmission phase is important not only from the file point of view. Therefore it has been extended to 6 im one month before the start of the procedure compare the preparatory meeting with the applicant has been more sy after start) into the presubmission phase, i.e. approximate descendent the procedures to for. Inclusion of the dates and deadlines for submission inclusion of the dates and deadlines for submission inclusion of the letter of support, as an option following anary Wharf = London E14 SEU = United Kingdom 0.3660 6000 Faccimite +44 (0)20 3660 5555 survetsite www.ma.auropa.eu/contact. | he procedural help to the applicant point of view 0 days with appointment of the Coordinator wel from the beginning of the procedure imately 15 days before the start based on the of letters of intent for qualification of novel g a qualification advice procedure. | |

Adoption by CHMP for release for consultation

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM230597.pdf http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en GB/document library/Regulatory and procedural guideline/2009/10/WC500004201.pdf

C-Path – December 2015 **D-RSC benefits from C-Path consortia experience & results**



Twelve global consortia collaborating with 1,300+ scientists and 61 companies



Coalition Against Major Diseases Focusing on diseases of the brain



Coalition For Accelerating **Standards and Therapies** Data standards



Critical Path for Parkinson's Consortium Enabling clinical trials in Parkinson's Disease



Multiple Sclerosis Outcome Assessments Consortium Measuring drug effectiveness in MS



Polycystic Kidney Disease **Outcomes Consortium** New imaging biomarkers

Electronic Patient-Reported

Electronic capture of treatment benefit

Outcome Consortium

Patient-Reported Outcome Consortium Assessing treatment benefit



Critical Path to TB Drug Regimens Accelerating the development of TB drug regimens and diagnostics



The Duchenne Regulatory Science Consortium Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy









International Neonatal Consortium Neonatal clinical trials



Pediatric Trials Consortium Developing effective therapies for children

 Biomarkers Clinical outcome assessment instruments Clinical trial simulation tools Data standards In vitro tools

29

C-Path Collaborators



Industry

- AbbVie
- Acorda Therapeutics
- Actelion Pharmaceuticals
- Allergan
- Almac
- Amgen
- AstraZeneca
- Biogen Idec
- Boehringer Ingelheim
- Bracket
- Bristol-Myers Squibb
- Celgene
- Cepheid
- CRF Health
- Daiichi Sanyko
- Edetek
- Eisai
- Eli Lilly and Company
- EMD Śerono

Nonprofit Research Organizations

- Alzheimer's Association
- Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation
- Alzheimer's Research UK
- Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
- CDISC
- Cincinnati Children's Hospital
- Engelberg Center for Health Care Reform
- EDCTP
- Flinn Foundation
- Foundation for National Institutes of Health
- National MS Society
- Parent Project Muscular Dystrophy
- Parkinson's UK
- PKD Foundation
- Reagan-Udall Foundation
- Science Foundation Arizona
- SRI International
- Stop TB Partnership
- TB Alliance
- US Against Alzheimer's

- Ephibian
- ERT
- Exco InTouch
- Forest Laboratories, Inc.
- GE Healthcare
- Genentech
- Genzyme
- GlaxoSmithKline
- Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc.
- Horizon Pharma
- ICON
- Ironwood Pharmaceuticals
- Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, LLC
- Medidata Solutions
- Merck and Co., Inc.
- Meso Scale Discovery
- Millennium: The Takeda Oncology Company

Government and Regulatory Agencies

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
- European Medicines Agency
- Innovative Medicines Initiative
- International Genomics Consortium
- National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
- National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases
- National Institutes of Health
- National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke
- Pharmaceuticals and Medical Device Agency
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration
- World Health Organization

- Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation
- Novartis
- Novo Nordisk
- Oracle
- Otsuka Pharmaceutical
- Pfizer
- Pharsight/Certara
- PTC Therapeutics
- PHT
- Sanofi
- Santhera Pharmaceuticals
- Sarepta Therapeutics
- Shire
- Sunovion Pharmaceuticals
- TAG
- Takeda
- Teva Pharmaceuticals
- UCB
- Vertex

Academic Institutions

- The University of Arizona
- Arizona State University
- Baylor University
- University of California San Francisco

University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center

30

- University of Colorado-Denver
- Emory University
- University of Florida
- Johns HopkinsMayo Clinic

Tufts University

C-Path Core Competencies



- Regulatory qualification of preclinical and clinical biomarkers for safety, efficacy, and trial enrichment
- Regulatory endorsement of disease models and clinical trial simulation tools
- Novel *in vitro* tools to expedite proof-of-concept
- Outcome assessment instrument development
- Clinical data standards development
- Secure data management, standardization, curation, database development

C-Path Accomplishments: Drug Development Tools & Methodologies



- First imaging biomarker for trial enrichment qualified by FDA (Total Kidney Volume use in Polycystic Kidney disease)
- First imaging biomarker for trial enrichment qualified by the EMA (vMRI of hippocampus use in Alzheimer's disease)
- First drug-disease-trial model for AD endorsed by the FDA & EMA
- First *in vitro* novel methodology qualified by EMA (Hollow Fiber System for use in TB drug development)
- Two Letters of Support from EMA for kidney injury biomarkers and skeletal muscle injury biomarkers
- ✓ Six Letter of Support from FDA for kidney injury biomarkers, skeletal muscle injury biomarkers, CSF in AD; vMRI in AD; Dopamine transport biomarker in PD
- ✓ First preclinical safety biomarkers (7) qualified by the FDA, EMA, and PMDA

Databases Accessible to Research Community

Shared as allowed by data owner.





Outcomes Consortium's Database



Thank You

www.c-path.org







